



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,965	12/15/2003	Christopher Kent Karstens	RSW920030208US1	3393

71474 7590 08/04/2008
Steven E. Bach Attorney at Law
10 Roberts Road
Newtown Square, PA 19073

EXAMINER

MUSA, ABDELNABI O

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2146

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/04/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/735,965	Applicant(s) KARSTENS, CHRISTOPHER KENT	
	Examiner ABDELNABI O. MUSA	Art Unit 2146	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 October 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgment is made for the applicant's response and amendment filed on 10/19/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 1-3, 5-7, 9-13, 15 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szeto Patent No. (US 7,188,143 B2) in view of Price et al. Pub. No. (US 2005/0102362 A1) and further in view of Rice, III Pub. No. (2002/0174010 A1)

As per **claim 1**, Szeto teaches a computer-readable medium (a computer readable medium such as a computer readable storage Col. 3, Line 5) whose contents cause a source computer to control the use of content carried over a content controlled instant message session with a target computer, (technologies for controlling an application in an instant messaging environment are described, Abstract; Col. 1, Line 21) the source computer having a program for performing the (program instruction within the storage medium computer Col. 3, Line 7; program to communicate with users Col. 8, Line 24) steps of:

determining a requested use attribute (206) for instant message (FIG.1) content generated by the source computer (the instant messaging environment can request and retrieve applications and instant messages based on the identifier 'from both clients and users' Col. 3, Line 1);

sending the requested use attribute (FIG.10) to the target computer (the control message is sent to IM clients which implements the requested IM applications Col. 13, Line 53);

receiving (1102) an indication verifying whether a content controlled instant message session is supported at the target computer (IM applications may require the use of a supporting application for execution, if needed maybe downloaded from the central IM servers so that users may obtain new environments to specify to other users Col.2, Line 51-76 FIG.10) ; and

establishing the content controlled (1302) instant message session (406) having a session use attribute (FIG.14A) based upon the requested use attribute with the received (400) indication (a control message for sending and receiving the message and selecting an IM environment to execute the applications Col. 3, Line 28; FIG. 4), the content controlled instant message session controlling the use of content provided over the session at the target computer in accordance with the session use attribute (user interface commands that control the content and the display of the IM application between the user and the client Col. 5, Line 36. FIG. 2; instant messaging server that interact between a client and a user Col. Col. 6, Line 6).

But does not teach the specifics on controlling the content features of the target computer by generating a request content by the source computer and receiving an indication verifying a content controlled instant message session has been established and is supported at the target computer. However, Price teaches a method for communicating with computer system devices, wherein Instant Messaging software is embedded within a peripheral device that uses an embedded software to communicate with a computer system responsive to an event where a device send out a Instant Messaging messages responsive to a status of the peripheral device or an event ([0011][0016][0017] FIG.3) The peripheral device then receives and processes at least one Instant Messaging message from a user, application program or other devices to inform the source if the user has the desired functions and/or capabilities for support then responsively take actions and create the Instant Messaging message responsive to a characteristic of the output job or a status of the device including establishing communities and support ([0018] [0023] [0025] FIG.4) also Rice teaches a method for improving interface efficiencies between network computing devices by providing a code to enable/disable functions and/or initiate the interface between a source computer and a client. This enabling/disabling code is downloadable in a format suitable for making the full communication possible and supported by both systems ([0015] FIG.16) the server or source computer initiates the application Server as indicated at (1614) and determine the appropriate functions to be disabled at the client from the perspective of the user as Indicated at (1620) the appropriate restrictions on the recipient user's

capability are implemented in the client environment, indicated at ([0169] [0171] [0172] [0215] FIG.17)

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Szeto by the teaching of Price and Rice where one would consider controlling a target computer or a printing device through instant messaging messages and receive an indication of whether the message has been processed to control such feature of the target device. Also one would consider disabling/enabling content features to its clients to add security measures to data flow among computing devices for improving the flow of information.

As per **claim 2**, Szeto teaches a the computer-readable medium of claim 1 (a computer readable medium such as a computer readable storage Col. 3, Line 5 FIG.2) wherein the received indication further includes an indication that a content use feature (start function for IM window activation Col. 9, Line 35; FIG. 5) on the target computer corresponding to the requested use attribute has been activated. (an environment in the instant messaging system that gives the user options to activate and indicate the user content features on the client side Col. 1, Line 40; FIG. 1)

As per **claim 3**, Szeto teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 2 (a computer readable medium such as a computer readable storage Col. 3, Line 5) wherein the content use feature is a disabled printing function, a disabled screen capture function (user interface function controlling IM applications were a monitor

Art Unit: 2146

screen has containing the history window Col. 13, Line 56), a disabled third party join function (using a third party servers for instant messaging Col. 4, Line 8; Col. 12, Line 36; FIGs 10), a disabled clipboard copy function, or a disabled logging function at the target computer (Instant messaging servers may perform various functions for communications and conversions Col.1, Line 32-48; Col. 5, Line 46; FIG.3).

As per **claim 5**, Szeto teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 1 further comprising: updating the session use attribute during communication over the content controlled instant message session (FIG.10A) after the establishing of the content controlled instant message session step (the instant messaging server retrieves and updates the client's environment from the network Col. 6, Line 34; Col. 9, Line 49 FIG.2).

As per **claim 6**, Szeto teaches a computer-readable medium (a computer readable medium such as a computer readable storage Col. 3, Line 5) whose contents enable a source computer to control the use of content carried over a content controlled instant message session at a target computer (the instant messages and content are downloaded and controlled from a central source to the clients Col. 10, Line 34; FIGs. 10), the target computer having a program (program instruction within the storage medium computer Col. 3, Line 7; program to communicate with users Col. 8, Line 24) for performing the steps of:

receiving a first message containing a first requested use attribute from the source computer (the control message is sent to a client requesting the IM application Col. 13, Line 53; FIG. 13);

reading the first requested use attribute (the messaging environment can read the information available to client Col. 12, Line 5; FIGs. 9);

determining whether a content use feature corresponding to the first requested use attribute is supported on the target computer (the instant messaging environment determines whether a supporting application is required Col. Abstract; Col. 2, Line 57; Col. 13, Line 8; FIGs. 12); and

sending a second message indicating the support of the content use feature to the source computer (a sending instant messaging environment identifying the application status Col. 2, Line 41; when first client is identified and supported the control message sends sets a message to a second client and executing the application in the messaging environment Col. 3, Line 16-35; Col. 5, Line 40).

As per **claim 7**, Szeto teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 6 (a computer readable medium such as a computer readable storage Col. 3, Line 5) wherein the program further comprises the step of: activating the content use feature on the target computer (an environment in the instant messaging system that gives the user options to activate and indicate the user content features on the client side Col. 1, Line 40; FIG. 1; start function for IM window activation Col. 9, Line 35; FIG. 5).

As per **claim 9**, Szeto teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 6 (a computer readable medium such as a computer readable storage Col. 3, Line 5) wherein the second message includes a first unsupported use attribute (the instant messaging environment determines whether a supporting application is required Col. Abstract; Col. 2, Line 57; Col. 13, Line 8; FIGs. 12), the first unsupported use attribute defining the feature on the target computer which may not be activated (an environment in the instant messaging system that gives the user options to activate and indicate the user content features on the client side Col. 1, Line 40; FIG. 1; start function for IM window activation Col. 9, Line 35; FIG. 5).

As per **claim 10**, Szeto teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 6 (a computer readable medium such as a computer readable storage Col. 3, Line 5) wherein the program (program instruction within the storage medium computer Col. 3, Line 7; program to communicate with users Col. 8, Line 24) further comprising the steps of:

determining a second requested use attribute for instant message content generated by the target computer (the instant messaging environment can request and retrieve applications and instant messages based on the identifier 'from both clients and users' Col. 3, Line 1), the second requested use attribute corresponding to a second content use feature (user interface displays instant messages and their corresponding environment to the user from the client Col. 5, Line 39; Col. 6, Line 23);

sending the second requested use attribute to the source computer (the control message is sent to IM clients from the source computer which implements the requested IM applications Col. 13, Line 53);

receiving at the source computer an activation indication of the second content use feature (a code or signature is used to verify the integrity of the environment Col. 8, Line 65); and sending an instant message having content controlled by the second content use feature (technologies for controlling an application in a n instant messaging environment, Abstract; Col. 1, Line 21).

As per **claim 11**, Szeto teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 6 (a computer readable medium such as a computer readable storage Col. 3, Line 5) further comprising the step of: updating the first requested use attribute (the instant messaging server retrieves and updates the client's environment from the network Col. 6, Line 34; Col. 9, Line 49)

As per **claim 12**, Szeto teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 10 (a computer readable medium such as a computer readable storage Col. 3, Line 5) further comprising the step of: updating the second requested use attribute (the instant messaging server retrieves and updates the client's environment from the network Col. 6, Line 34; Col. 9, Line 49)

As per **claim 13**, Szeto teaches a computer-readable medium (a computer readable medium such as a computer readable storage Col. 3, Line 5) whose contents cause a computer system to control the use of content over a content controlled instant message session (technologies for controlling an application in a n instant messaging environment are described, Abstract; Col. 1, Line 21), the computer system having a source computer having a source program and a target computer having a target program, the target program having access to disabling a content use feature on the target computer (program instruction within the storage medium computer Col. 3, Line 7; program to communicate with users Col. 8, Line 24; program control or environment program Col. 6, Line 40; Col. 9, Line 51), by performing the steps of:

 sending a requested use attribute for instant message content generated by the source program (the control message is sent to IM clients which implements the requested IM applications Col. 13, Line 53);

 receiving the requested use attribute at the target program (the control message is sent to a client requesting the IM application Col. 13, Line 53; FIG. 13);

 determining whether a content use feature corresponding to the requested use attribute is supported (user interface displays instant messages and their corresponding environment to the user from the client Col. 5, Line 39; Col. 6, Line 23);

 receiving at the source computer an indication of whether the content use feature is supported (a code or signature is used to verify the integrity of the environment Col. 8, Line 65); and

establishing the content controlled instant message session having a session use attribute defining the content use feature (a control message for sending and receiving the message and selecting an IM environment to execute the applications Col. 3, Line 28; FIG. 4)

As per **claim 15**, Szeto teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 13 (a computer readable medium such as a computer readable storage Col. 3, Line 5) further comprising the following step before the receiving an indication step (a code or signature is used to verify the integrity of the environment Col. 8, Line 65), the following step comprising:

determining a second requested use attribute to control content sent by the target program (the instant messaging environment can request and retrieve applications and instant messages based on the identifier 'from both clients and users' Col. 3, Line 1)

Regarding claims 8, 14, and 16-30 are related to the same limitation set for hereinabove, where the difference used is the phrase 'method' in most of the claims. The citations from the prior art has been inserted where's necessary. Furthermore, the wordings of the claims were interchanged within the claim itself and this change does NOT effect the limitation of the above treated claims. The claim's limitations seemed to be repeated in many claims throughout the application. Even in the above treated claims many of the statements were just repeated from previously written claims within

Art Unit: 2146

the application. Even though claims 8, 14, 16-30 have been differently written from the above treated claims, yet the limitations did not change. As mentioned, claim 8 is the same as claim 3, claim 14 is the same as claim 7, claim 16 is the same as claim 3, claim 17 is the same as claim 4, claim 18 is the same as claim 5, claim 19 is the same as claim 1, claim 20 is the same as claim 2, claim 21 is the same as claim 3, claim 22 is the same as claim 4, claim 23 is the same as claim 5, claim 24 is the same as claim 6, claim 25 is the same as claim 7, claim 26 is the same as claim 8, claim 27 is the same as claim 9, claim 28 is the same as claim 10, claim 29 is the same as claim 11, claim 30 is the same as claim 12. a repeated minor difference was introduced between claims 8, 14, 16-30 and the above treated ones that did NOT change the limitations is that, for example, sending a request from the client is the same as sending a request from the source where the process is done by a server and instruction code, again there are no difference in limitations between claims 8, 14, and 16-30 and the above treated claims.

As per **claim 31**, Szeto teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 1 (a computer readable medium to store data files Col. 3, Line 5) wherein the content use function is a recording or reproduction function (an instant messaging server in the computer readable medium may record functions and event to be retrieved at a later time Col. 11, Line 50; Col. 13, Line 37)

Prior Art

3. The following prior art from the updated search made of record and not relied upon:

- Chang Pub. No. (US 2004/0103153 A1)
- Inala et al. Pub. No. (US 2003/0187925 A1)
- Mendiola et al Patent No. (7,319,882 B2)

Conclusion

4. When responding to this office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present, in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections See 37 CFR 1.111(c).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdelnabi O. Musa whose telephone number is 571-2701901. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 7:30am to 5:00pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Pwu can be reached on 571-2726798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2146

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A.M

/Jeffrey Pwu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2146